UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,862	04/28/2008	Thomas J. Kenney	042933/314689	7260
Nokia Corporation and Alston & Bird LLP c/o Alston & Bird LLP Bank of America Plaza, 101 South Tryon Street Suite 4000 Charlotte, NC 28280-4000			EXAMINER	
			TAYLOR, BARRY W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2011	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
10/597,862	KENNEY, THOMAS J.	
	Art Unit	
Barry W. Taylor	2617	
		-

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 18 January 2011.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Regression reason(s):</li> </ol>	request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal brobrief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no-	ht Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal of from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9, 11-26 Claim(s) withdrawn from core	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Barry W. Taylor</u> .	(3) <u>George Eng (SPE)</u> .
(2) Kent Chang.	(4)
/Barry W Taylor/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/Kent Chang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617